	41	
1		
2	Richard-Enrique; Ulloa, Sui Juris	N.D. OF N V
3	c/o postal department 771 Stone Ridge, New York republic	N.D. OF N.Y. FILED
4	In Propria Persona	JUL 1 6 2010
5		LAWRENCE K. BAERMAN, CLERK ALBANY
6	All Rights Reserved Without Prejudice	ALBANY CLERK
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STAT	FFC DISTRICT COURT
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
10	TOR THE NORTHERN D	ISTRICT OF NEW YORK
11	I DUTTED STATES OF A STRUCK SA	CASE # 1:10-CR-0321 (TJM)
12	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,[sic] )	
13	Plaintiff,[sic] )	NOTICE OF DEMAND AND DEMAND TO DISMISS
14	v.	DUE TO ILLEGAL GRAND JURY PROCEEDINGS
15		28 U.S.C. 1867
16	RICHARD ENRIQUE ULLOA, [sic] )	Rule 6 (b) (2), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure
17		
18	Defendant. [sic]	
19		
20	I Richard Enrique: Illian am a sassasia	
21	I Richard-Enrique; Ulloa, am a sovereign, sui juris, free white man, a follower of	
22	Yahshua the Messiah in the laws of The Almighty Supreme Creator, Yahvah first and	
23	foremost and the laws of man when they are not in conflict (Leviticus 18:3,4). Pursuant to Matthew 5:33-37 and James 5:12. Let my ves be seen at the second of the conflict (Leviticus 18:3,4).	
24	to Matthew 5:33-37 and James 5:12, let my yea be yea, and my nay be nay, as supported	
25	by your Federal Public Law 97-280, 96 Stat. 1211. Appear by special visitation and not appearing generally, as a Secured Party Creditor, and Sui Juris, before this court. I have	
26	appearing generally, as a secured Party Credite	or, and our juris, before this court. I have
27		

personal knowledge of the matters stated herein, am over the age of majority, and hereby asseverate understanding the liabilities presented in your <u>Briscoe v LaHue</u>, 460 US 325.

COMES NOW Richard-Enrique; Ulloa, *Sui Juris*, Citizen of New York, republic, expressly not a citizen of the United States ("federal citizen"), and Defendant in the above entitled matter (hereinafter "Defendant"), to move this honorable Court to dismiss the instant case with prejudice, due to improper seating and improper conduct of the federal grand jury which issued the indictment on which the instant case is premised, and to provide formal Notice to all interested party(s) of same.

This honorable Court will please take formal judicial Notice of the fact that Defendant is not a bar-licensed attorney, and has not had the advantage(s) of formal education in an accredited law school. For this reason, Defendant must learn and digest the particulars of law and procedure independently, as time permits.

Therefore, this Notice and Demand is timely because the proper workings of the federal grand jury system have only recently been deciphered. The seven-day time limit for filing motions and or demands related to improper grand jury procedure(s) does not apply in the instant case, particularly when due process Rights guaranteed by the U.S.Constitution is at issue. Even with the statutory time limit, this Notice and Demand is timely because it is being filed as soon as possible after discovery of the rules according to which a federal grand jury is required to proceed. Moreover, related statutory provisions have only recently been unraveled to clarify the grounds and the precise manner in which this Notice and Demand is required to be prepared and submitted to this honorable Court.

The key provision which demonstrates that Defendant should have been notified of an investigation and proceedings, premised upon allegations of complaint prior to the grand jury having been convened, is found at Rule 6(b)(1) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, to wit:

(1) Challenges. The attorney for the government or a defendant who has been held to answer in district court may challenge the array of jurors on the ground that the grand jury was not selected, drawn or summoned in accordance with law, and may challenge an individual juror on the ground that the juror is not legally qualified. Challenges shall be made before the administration of the oath to the jurors and shall be tried by the court.

[emphasis added]

By failing properly to notify the Defendant of allegations of complaint and intent to present matters to a grand jury for consideration, the United States Attorney effectively deprived Defendant of: (1) the Fourth Amendment Right to have access to affidavits of complaint; (2) the Sixth Amendment Right to interview witnesses against him; (3) the Sixth Amendment Right to have the assistance of Counsel during the proceedings; and (4) the Right to challenge the jury selection process and/or the qualifications of individual jury candidates.

In the grand jury forum, prospective defendants enjoy Rights articulated in Rule 5.1(a), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, pertaining to preliminary examinations, to wit:

The defendant may cross-examine adverse witnesses and may introduce evidence. [Rule 5.1(a), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure]

This Court will also please take formal Notice that the Federal Rules of Evidence, which are otherwise restrictive, do not apply to preliminary hearings or to grand jury proceedings. See Rule 1101(d) (2) and (3), Federal Rules of Evidence.

Accordingly, the applicable elements of the common law and constitutionally guaranteed Rights are both preserved in these two forums. According to the U.S. Supreme Court's decision in Blair v. United States, 250 U.S. 273, 282 (1919), the grand jury retains common law powers and authority vested by common law of English-American lineage prior to the ratification of the U.S. Constitution. The reason for the preservation of the grand jury's traditional authority, with the exclusion provision at Rule 1101(d)(2), is found at 28 U.S.C. 2072, which conveys authority for the U.S. Supreme Court to promulgate rules for statutory courts of the United States, to wit:

(b) Such rules shall not abridge, enlarge or modify any substantive right. All laws in conflict with such rules shall be of no further force or effect after such rules have taken effect.

Therefore, convening a grand jury in secret, without affording Defendant an opportunity to challenge the jury selection process or the qualifications of the individual jurors, and to exercise Fourth and Sixth Amendment Rights to have access to the substance of complaints, to interview adverse witnesses, and either stand in Defendant's own stead or be represented by counsel, defaults the alleged indictment into an action ultra vires ab initio (without authority from the beginning). The entire grand jury array must be defaulted, therefore, and the indictment dismissed, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1867(d), to wit:

(d) Upon motion filed under subsection (a), (b), or (c) of this section, containing a sworn statement of facts which, if true, would constitute a substantial failure to comply with provisions of this title .... If the court determines that there has been a substantial failure to comply with the

provisions of this title in selecting the grand jury, the court shall stay the proceedings pending the selection of a grand jury in conformity with this title or dismiss the indictment, whichever is applicable.

# [emphasis added]

Where the instant case is concerned, the alleged indictment must be dismissed because the entire selection and seating process was conducted under the exclusive control of the United States Attorney, without the Defendant having had the opportunity to participate in the selection and qualification process, as prescribed by Rule 6(b)(1) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.

This, of necessity, defaults all members of the grand jury responsible for the alleged indictment, because an a posteriori position does not afford the opportunity to correct an error which abridges the provisions of Rule 6(b)(1) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.

Defendant's Affidavit of Facts, as required by 28 U.S.C. 1867(d), follows the PROOF OF SERVICE attending to this Notice and Demand.

## REMEDY REQUESTED

Premises considered, Defendant moves this honorable Court to dismiss the indictment with prejudice, said dismissal being mandated by the operation of law.

To return ALL property to me, and to release all monies used as Bail immediately, and attach a 10% interest daily interest if not release immediately.

To return all paper documents, and to destroy all ill gotten "evidence" and to certify by affidavits under penalty of perjury that all documentation and electronic files and surveillance files have been destroyed.

In order to release, absolve and purge this accusation, Defendant Notice and Demand the court to enter an order for acquittal of the indictment due to the illegal actions of the Grand Jury. An indictment by a Grand Jury cannot be made unless there is proof "beyond a reasonable doubt", how can there be proof beyond a reasonable doubt when the facts have only been heard from one side, and should preclude all reasonable hypothesis's that have been made and examined" It must be proof "to a reasonable and moral certainty" that convinces and directs the understanding and satisfies reason of judgment of those who are bound to act conscientiously upon it. It forces a man or woman to give pause and hesitation to act upon the truth of the matter charged.

How can the men and women of the Grand Jury, make any reasonable and moral determinations that give pause and hesitation to the charges without weighing both sides of the parties oaths, affirmations, acts, omissions, evidence, discovery and interrogatories?

## VERIFICATION

Defendant hereby verifies, under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the United States of America, without the "United States", that the above statement of facts is true and correct, and all matters of law addressed herein are accurate and true, to the best of Defendant's current information, knowledge, and belief, so help Me God, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1746(1):

Executed on 07/13/2010:

Richard-Enrique; Ulloa, Sui Juris Citizen of New York republic

All Rights Reserved without Prejudice

JUDITH S. MAYHON

NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF NEW YORK

NO. 01MA6095585

QUALIFIED IN ULSTER COUNTY

QUALIFIED IN ULSTER COUNTY //
COMMISSION EXPIRES JULY 14 20 //

1 2 PROOF OF SERVICE 3 I, Richard-Enrique; Ulloa, Sui Juris, hereby certify, under penalty of perjury, 4 under the laws of the United States of America, without the "United States", that I am 5 at least 18 years of age and a Citizen of one of the united States of America states, 6 and that I personally served the following document(s): 7 NOTICE AND DEMAND AND DEMAND TO DISMISS 8 DUE TO ILLEGAL GRAND JURY PROCEEDINGS: 28 U.S.C. 1867; Rule 6(b) (1), 9 Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure 10 AFFIDAVIT OF FACT: 11 28 U.S.C. 1746(1); 1867(d) 12 by placing said document(s) with exhibits in first class United States Mail, with postage prepaid and properly addressed to the following individuals: 13 14 Solicitor General Department of Justice 15 10th and Constitution, N.W. 16 Washington, D.C. 17 Office of United States Attorney 18 THOMAS A. CAPEZZA 445 Broadway 19 Albany, New York (12207) New York, republic 20 21 Executed on 07/13/2010: 22 23 Richard-Enrique; Ulloa, Sui Juris 24 pedett I. nayh New York, republic 25 All Rights Reserved without Prejudice 26 27

Demand to Dismiss for Illegal Grand Jury Proceedings Page 7 of 12

1 2 3 Richard-Enrique; Ulloa, Sui Juris c/o postal department 771 4 Stone Ridge, New York republic 5 In Propria Persona 6 All Rights Reserved Without Prejudice 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 10 11 CASE # 1:10-CR-0321 (TJM) 12 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,[sic] 13 Plaintiff,[sic] 14 **AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS** 28 U.S.C 1746(1), 1867(d) 15 RICHARD ENRIQUE ULLOA,[sic] 16 17 Defendant.[sic] 18 **AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS 28 U.S.C 1746(1), 1867 (d)** 19 20 COMES NOW Richard-Enrique; Ulloa, Sui Juris, Citizen of the New York republic, 21 expressly not a citizen of the United States ("federal citizen"), and Defendant in the 22 above entitled matter (hereinafter "Defendant"), to submit this, Defendant's AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS. 23 24 **VERIFICATION** 25 Defendant hereby verifies, under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the 26 United States of America, without the "United States", that the following statement of 27 facts is true and correct, and all matters of law addressed herein are accurate and true, Demand to Dismiss for Illegal Grand Jury Proceedings Page 8 of 12

to the best of Defendant's current information, knowledge, and belief, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1746(1).

I am a Citizen of the New York, republic, which is one of the several states party to the Constitution for the united States of America, as lawfully amended (hereinafter "U.S. Constitution").

I inhabit and live in peek farms, 3<sup>rd</sup> judicial district of tens, Stone Ridge, New York, republic, on privately owned land which is not within any federal enclave or federal area, as ceded to the United States (federal government) in compliance with 40 U.S.C. 255. See also 18 U.S.C. 7(3).

As such, I am endowed by My Creator with certain unalienable Rights, and I am entitled to all Rights secured by the U.S. Constitution, particularly with respect to due process of law provisions articulated in the Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, and Seventh Amendments to said Constitution.

I attest that I was never served with actual notice of any grand jury investigation concerning allegations made in the alleged indictment(s) filed in the instant case.

I attest that I was never present, nor was I represented by counsel, when the grand jury was drawn and seated and, therefore, I did not have the opportunity to challenge the grand jury qualification or seating process before the fact, as prescribed by Rule 6(b)(1) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.

I attest that I was never provided with copies of any valid affidavits of complaint, as required by the Fourth Amendment, nor did I have an opportunity to confront witnesses against Me, as assured by the Sixth Amendment.

I attest that I was never provided the opportunity to present evidence to the grand jury once convened, nor to call witnesses on My behalf.

21 22

19

20

24

23

25

26 27

I attest that the first knowledge I had of any such proceedings was conveyed to Me by means of a non 4th amendment arrest warrant and no summons from the office of the Clerk of the United States District Court, no summons or indictment were ever sent from the Clerk of the United States District Court.

I am not PRO SE or representing myself, I am myself.

I am not an expert in the law however I do know right from wrong. If there is any human being damaged by any statements herein, if he will inform me by facts I will sincerely make every effort to amend my ways. I hereby and herein reserve the right to amend and make amendment to this document as necessary in order that the truth may be ascertained and proceedings justly determined. If the parties given notice by means of this document have information that would controvert and overcome this Affidavit, please advise me IN WRITTEN AFFIDAVIT FORM within thirty (30) days from receipt hereof providing me with your counter affidavit, proving with particularly by stating all requisite actual evidentiary fact and all requisite actual law, and not merely the ultimate facts or conclusions of law, that this Affidavit Statement is substantially and materially false sufficiently to change materially my status and factual declarations. Your silence stands as consent to, and tacit approval of, the factual declarations herein being established as fact as a matter of law. May the will of our Heavenly Father Yahvah, through the power and authority of the blood of His Son Yahshua be done on Earth as it is in Heaven.

I pray to our Heavenly Father and not this court that justice be done.

Reserving ALL Natural God-Given Unalienable Birthrights, Waiving None, Ever,

28 USC §1746 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. 28 USC §1746 Signed on this the thirteenth day of the seventh month in the year of our Lord and Savior two thousand ten. Executed on 07/13/2010: Richard-Enrique; Ulloa, Sui Juris New York, republic All Rights Reserved without Prejudice QUALIFIED IN ULSTER COUNTY 

### **Proof and Evidence of Service**

I, Richard-Enrique; Ulloa: declare that I served by filing one copy of the "AFFIDAVIT & Demand to Dismiss" by "hand-delivered by private carrier-service on "USDC of Northern NY" sent by post-office-first class-mail AND OR CERTIFIED MAIL to the following:

THOMAS A. CAPEZZA	USDC OF NORTHERN NY
Assistant U.S. Attorney Bar #503159	COURT CLERK
445 Broadway, Room 509	445 Broadway, Room 509
ALBANY, NEW YORK 12207	ALBANY, NEW YORK 12207
First class mail	First class mail

Richard-Enrique; Ulloa 07/13/2010

JUDITH S. MAYHON
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF NEW YORK
NO. 01MA6095585
QUALIFIED IN ULSTER COUNTY
OMMISSION EXPIRES IIII Y 14 20 //

reduct S. May h

# NOTICE TO THE AGENT IS NOTICE TO THE PRINCIPAL NOTICE TO

THE PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO THE AGENT

Demand to Dismiss for Illegal Grand Jury Proceedings Page 12 of 12